arXiv:1410.2010v1 [hep-ph] 8 Oct 2014

The flavor universality of some mass splittings in hadrons

S. S. Afonin[1]

V. A. Fock Department of Theoretical Physics, Saint-Petersburg State University, 1 ul. Ulyanovskaya, 198504, Russia

Abstract

The approximate chiral invariance of the two-flavor QCD is known to be spontaneously broken. This effect explains the relatively small pion mass and, as is widely believed, the mass splittings of would-be chiral partners — the hadrons of equal spin but opposite parity lying in one multiplet of the chiral symmetry. We present experimental evidences that in reality such mass splittings in the meson sector seem to be approximately flavor-independent in all cases where they can be tested experimentally — the spin 0 and 1. In addition, a partial flavor independence holds for spin 1/2 baryons (namely among states in which at least one of quarks is not u or d one). This property allows to predict masses and quantum numbers for 10 new hadrons. The given flavor-independence, if confirmed for higher spins, hints at the existence of universal scale $\Lambda_{\rm strong} \sim 300$ MeV in the strong interactions which, in contrast to $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, is flavor and scheme independent. Some manifestations of $\Lambda_{\rm strong}$ are discussed.

1 Introduction

One of the most challenging tasks in the physics of strong interactions is to understand how the confinement forces generate the observable hadron spectrum. The problem is extremely difficult both for theoretical and experimental reasons. The theoretical difficulty is related to a high complexity of the strong interactions in the hadronization phase. On the other hand, the hadron spectrum is still not well established experimentally, even the existing data is sometimes contradictory. As a result, accurate comparisons of model predictions with the actual data are often problematic. The absence of complete experimental data can be partly compensated if some general behavior of the hadron spectrum is known in detail. This subject has attracted much attention recently [1–16] (many other references can be found in reviews [6–9], some physical implications are discussed in [17–27]).

[1]E-mail: afonin@hep.phys.spbu.ru

The strong interactions reveal two spectacular non-perturbative phenomena — the quark confinement and the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (CSB). Both features have been inferred from observations: The former was deduced from non-existence of free quarks while the latter explains (among other things) small masses of pions in comparison with typical hadron masses. In spite of a long history of intensive researches, the relation between these phenomena remains unclear [28]. The CSB shapes in a decisive way the hadronic world at low energies, i.e. at energies below $1 \div 1.2$ GeV [29, 30]. In view of absence of reliable analytical tools for handling the non-perturbative part of QCD, the most confident information that we can extract about the CSB and related physics is encoded in the actual experimental data.

Among profound predictions of the CSB is generation of rather large mass splitting (MS) between hadrons lying in one multiplet of the chiral $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ group. For example, the MS between the $\rho(770)$-meson and $a_1(1230)$-meson is usually interpreted as a consequence of the CSB. This idea goes back to the Weinberg sum rules [31] and since that time it lies in the core of many phenomenological approaches to the low-energy strong interactions — from extensions of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model [32] to modern bottom-up holographic models for QCD [33, 34]. The idea is that the dynamics responsible for the appearance of (quasi)massless pions must simultaneously lead to a considerable MS between the vector and axial-vector mesons (in a broader context — between the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons, between the nuclons having the spin-parity $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and the $N(1535)$-nucleon having $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ etc.).

The main purpose of the present note is to draw attention to one remarkable property of the experimental hadron spectrum. It consists in a surprising universality of mass splittings between hadrons of opposite parity at very different energy scales.

The quark masses represent input parameters in the QCD Lagrangian. The masses of u- and d-quarks are of the order of several MeV [35] that is much less than the typical hadronic scale (1 GeV). This results in the approximate $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ chiral symmetry of the strong interactions relating particles of opposite parity. The hadrons made of u- and d-quarks should fill multiplets of the chiral group (see, e.g., the review [8]). The CSB entails large MS between the parity partners belonging to the same multiplet. Imagine now that we enlarge the value of one or both quark masses to the GeV scales. The approximate chiral symmetry does not exist any more. What happens with MS of the former chiral partners? Actually the answer is provided by the known data on heavy mesons and baryons. This data does not indicate any significant dependence of the MS under consideration on the

quark masses. The approximate flavor independence of MS between parity partners is puzzling and seems to encode an important piece of information about the strong interactions.

2 Analysis of experimental data

The relevant experimental data on mesons taken from the Particle Data [35] is displayed in Table 1. Various MSs are shown in Table 2. Consider the MS $M(1^{++}) - M(1^{--})$. In the light non-strange mesons (the qq-states in Table 1), the corresponding isovector vector and axial-vector mesons fill the multiplet of representation $(0,1)+(1,0)$ of the $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$ chiral group. Enlarging the quark masses, *apriori* we expect a substantial change of this MS since the underlying dynamics governing the MS is not chiral any more. In reality, we seem to observe oscillation of the MS near 414 MeV.

Table 1: The masses of ground meson states taken for our analysis [35]. The experimental errors (in brackets) are given with the accuracy of 1 MeV. The quark content is shown (it is implied that antiquark stays instead of one of quarks). The symbol q denotes the u- or d-quark. The charged component is considered for mesons with open flavor and different charges of quarks. For definiteness, we take the isovector qq-mesons except the scalar case (the chiral symmetry relates the isoscalar mesons with the pions). The assignment of ss-states is not very reliable: We confront the η-meson to $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ state (motivated by the dominance of the strange component in its mass), $f_1(1420)$ to $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ and $f'(1520)$ to $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$.

$M(J^{PC})$	qq	qs	ss	qc	sc	cc	qb	sb	cb	bb
$M(0^{-+})$	140	494	548	1870	1969(1)	2984(1)	5279	5367	6275(2)	9398(3)
$M(1^{--})$	776	892	1020	2010	—	3097	5325	5416(2)	—	9460
$M(1^{++})$	1230(40)	1272(7)	1426(1)	2423(2)	2460	3511	5723(2)	5829	—	9893
$M(0^{++})$	475(75)	—	980(20)	2319(29)	2318(1)	3414	—	—	—	9859
$M(2^{++})$	1318	1426(2)	1525(5)	2462(1)	2464(2)	3556	5743(5)	5840	—	9912

Table 2: Some mass splittings based on the data from Table 1. The mean values for approximately universal mass splittings are shown.

$\Delta M(J^{PC})$	qq	qs	ss	qc	sc	cc	qb	sb	cb	bb	Mean
$M(1^{++}) - M(1^{--})$	454(40)	380(7)	—	413(2)	—	414	398(2)	413(2)	—	433	414(22)
$M(0^{++}) - M(0^{-+})$	335(75)	—	432(20)	449(29)	349(1)	430(1)	—	—	—	461(3)	409(54)
$M(1^{--}) - M(0^{-+})$	636	398	—	141	—	113(1)	46	46(2)	—	62(3)	
$M(1^{++}) - M(0^{++})$	755(85)	—	446(20)	110(29)	142(1)	97	—	—	—	34	
$M(2^{++}) - M(1^{++})$	78(40)	154(7)	99(5)	32(2)	4(2)	45	20(5)	11	—	19	

If we consider the spontaneously broken $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ symmetry, the relevant multiplet is given by the pair (ω, f_1) with MS about 500 MeV. Thus, qualitatively we are dealing with the same phenomenon.

In the scalar sector, the situation is very similar. The ground isoscalar scalar and isovector pseudoscalar qq-states form the representation $\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$ of the chiral group. The data in the heavy quark sector is scarcer, nevertheless they happen to show a very similar effect. Moreover, the averaged MS turns out to be remarkably close to the vector one. It is not excluded that they coincide within the experimental errors.

We also provide in Table 2 some specific MSs between states with equal angular momentum L of quark-antiquark pair. According to the standard quark model, the S-wave quark-antiquark state ($L = 0$) can have the quantum numbers of vector or pseudoscalar particle while the P-wave one ($L = 1$) can be realized as scalar, axial-vector or spin-two tensor resonance of positive parity. It is seen that the MSs $M(1^{--}) - M(0^{-+})$ (S-wave) and $M(1^{++}) - M(0^{++})$, $M(2^{++}) - M(1^{++})$ (P-wave) degrease rapidly in response to increasing the quark masses.

The effect of approximate universality of MS between equal-spin parity partners also persists in the baryon sector, at least for the spin 1/2 baryons. The available data on the ground baryon states is given in Table 3 [35]. The corresponding MSs within the parity partners are presented in Table 4. It is clearly seen that if one or more q-quarks are replaced by heavier s, c or b quarks, the MS is immediately reduced by a factor of 2 and becomes a surprisingly stable quantity with the mean value 295 ± 8 MeV. For higher spin baryons, there is only one MS where this effect can be tested — the spin 3/2 baryons (see Table 4). As we see, the test is passed.

Table 3: The masses of baryons relevant for our analysis [35]. The names are indicated in square brackets.

$M(J^P)$	qqq	qqs	qss	sss	qqc	qqb
$M\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	938 [N]	1116 [Λ]	1322 [Ξ]	—	2286 [Λ]	5619 [Λ]
$M\left(\frac{1}{2}^-\right)$	1535(10) [N]	1405(1) [Λ]	—	—	2592 [Λ]	5912 [Λ]
$M\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1232(2) [Δ]	—	1532 [Ξ]	1672 [Ω]	—	—
$M\left(\frac{3}{2}^-\right)$	1700(30) [Δ]	—	1823(1) [Ξ]	—	—	—

Table 4: The mass splittings for baryons from Table 3. The mean value is cited for all states except the ground N and Δ.

$\Delta M(J^P)$	qqq	qqs	qss	sss	qqc	qqb	Mean
$M\left(\frac{1}{2}^-\right) - M\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	597(10)	281(1)	—	—	306	293	295 ± 8
$M\left(\frac{3}{2}^-\right) - M\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	468(30)	—	291(1)	—	—	—	

3 Predictions

The universality of MS between the would-be chiral partners and the approximate rate of degreasing the MS in states possessing identical L allow to predict the masses of all unknown mesons in Table 1 and of some unknown baryons in Table 3. Below we provide the ensuing predictions.

The known masses $M(0^{-+})$ for the qs, qb, sb, and cb states and the universal MS $M(0^{++}) - M(0^{-+}) = 409 \pm 54$ MeV give new scalar mesons

$$M_{sq}(0^{++}) = 903 \pm 54 \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_{qb}(0^{++}) = 5688 \pm 54 \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_{sb}(0^{++}) = 5766 \pm 54 \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_{cb}(0^{++}) = 6684 \pm 54 \text{ MeV}.$$

From the existence of $M_{sc}(1^{++})$ and approximately universal MS $M(1^{++}) - M(1^{--}) = 414 \pm 22$ MeV we conjecture a new vector meson

$$M_{sc}(1^{--}) = 2046 \pm 22 \text{ MeV}.$$

We can estimate the mass $M_{cb}(1^{--})$ from the rate of convergence of MS $M(1^{--}) - M(0^{-+})$. Namely, from the known value of this MS in the cb and bb sector (see Table 2) we may estimate its value in the cb sector as 55 ± 10 MeV. Then $M_{cb}(1^{--})$ follows from $M_{cb}(0^{-+})$,

$$M_{cb}(1^{--}) = 6330 \pm 10 \text{ MeV}.$$

The MS $M(1^{++}) - M(1^{--})$ yields

$$M_{cb}(1^{++}) = 6744 \pm 24 \text{ MeV}.$$

The MS $M(2^{++}) - M(1^{++})$ in the cb sector is estimated from the sb and bb ones as 15 ± 5 MeV. This leads to the prediction

$$M_{cb}(2^{++}) = 6759 \pm 25 \text{ MeV}.$$

It must be of course understood that the last three predictions have a lower confidence level.

The averaged MS of baryon parity partners for the states containing at least one s, c or b quark is 295 ± 8 MeV. Then from Tables 3 and 4 we have the predictions

$$[\Xi]: \quad M_{qss}\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right) = 1617 \pm 8 \text{ MeV},$$

$$[\Omega]: \quad M_{sss}\left(\frac{3}{2}^{-}\right) = 1967 \pm 8 \text{ MeV}.$$

Thus, we see that a simple observation of certain regularities in the hadron spectrum discussed in the previous Section provides interesting estimates for masses of 10 new hadrons.

4 Discussions

Our short phenomenological analysis was motivated by the ongoing discussions in the literature concerning details of global behavior of the hadron spectrum and extraction of physical information from the established regularities [1, 2, 6–12, 14–17, 19–24, 26, 27]. One of important questions can be formulated as follows: Does the hadron mass represent a single valued function (with the accuracy, say, several pro cent) of the total spin J or of the relative angular momentum of quarks L (having fixed the other quantum numbers and the quark masses)? In the sector of light hadrons, it is often advocated that J is the correct choice because L represents a non-relativistic quantity while the light hadrons are ultrarelativistic systems [8]. This point of view is partly supported by some hadron string models. Nevertheless, the light mesons are most naturally systematized in terms of L [36, 37]. In addition, the Regge behavior $M^2 \sim L+n$ was observed [7, 10], here n denotes the radial quantum number and L fits well the quantum-mechanical prediction $L = J, J \pm S$, where $S = 0, 1$ is the sum of spins of quark and antiquark.

In our case, we are dealing with the ground states, $n = 0$. The assumption about the Regge behavior is not needed. The MS between states with equal L but different J diminishes by an order of magnitude when going from the light mesons to the heavy ones (see Table 2). This behavior is natural because the heavy quarkonia represent non-relativistic systems in which L defines the total energy containing a relatively small contribution from the spin-orbital interactions. Consider the MS $\Delta = M(L = 1) - M(L = 0)$ for the heavy mesons, where L is well defined. The phenomenological property $\Delta > 0$ is intuitively clear since higher L is related to larger separation, hence, to stronger interactions between quarks. A remarkable feature of Δ consists in the fact that it seems to depend weakly both on the quark masses and on the total spin, as we have demonstrated in Section 2. Even more remarkably looks the fact that the given property approximately persists in the light mesons. This phenomenon strongly suggests that the underlying dynamics responsible for the value of Δ is more or less universal for any flavor.

The data on baryons is more puzzling. As follows from Table 4, only a half of MS between $N(1535)$ and the proton has a universal flavor-independent

origin. The universal MS in mesons (for spin 0 and 1), $\Delta_{\rm M} \sim 400$ MeV is larger than the universal MS in baryons (for spin 1/2 and spin 3/2), $\Delta_{\rm B} \sim 300$ MeV (here we exclude the baryons composed of light u and d quarks — N and Δ). The fraction $\Delta_{\rm M}/\Delta_{\rm B} \sim 4/3$ is remarkably close to the Casimir factor C_F of $SU(3)$ gauge group, $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c) = 4/3$ for $N_c = 3$, which represents the color factor associated with gluon emission from a quark.

In summary, the experimental data on hadrons seems to suggest that the strong interactions possess a universal non-perturbative flavor-independent scale $\Lambda_{\rm strong}$. This scale should not be confused with $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. We recall that $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{(n_f)}$ is inferred from the perturbation theory and depends both on the exploited scheme of calculations and on the number of quark flavors n_f. For instance, in the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme, $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{(3)} = 340 \pm 8$ MeV, $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{(4)} = 297 \pm 8$ MeV, $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{(5)} = 214 \pm 7$ MeV [35]. The universal MS in baryons $\Delta_{\rm B}$ can be taken as a definition of $\Lambda_{\rm strong}$. Then the data indicates on the relation $\Delta_{\rm M} \simeq C_F \Delta_{\rm B}$. It would be very interesting to derive this relation theoretically, at least in some limit. In fact, the characteristic scale $\Lambda_{\rm strong}$ is parametrically contained in the low-energy chiral [38] and potential quark models in the form of constituent quark mass $M_{\rm con} \sim 300$ MeV. Our aim, in essence, was to emphasize the universality of this scale for any energy region where the hadron resonances are observed.

5 Conclusions

The mass splitting in hadron parity pairs has, most likely, a universal flavor-independent origin in mesons and partly universal origin in baryons. This is directly seen in the spin 0 and 1 mesons and, to a certain extend, in the spin 1/2 and (less evident) 3/2 baryons. The experimental discovery of 10 new states described in Section 3 would be a spectacular confirmation for our hypothesis. The observation of the same property in the higher spin hadrons would give another confirmation. The effect could be also tested in the lattice simulations.

The given flavor-independence, if confirmed, means that, in the hadronization regime, the strong interactions between quarks always contain a low-energy contribution that depends strongly on the parity of a hadron state and weakly on its spin and quark masses. This very part precludes the linear realization of chiral symmetry in the light hadrons and is indispensable to understanding the spectroscopy of the heavy hadrons. The derivation of fraction $\Delta_{\rm M}/\Delta_{\rm B} \sim 4/3$ is a challenge for dynamical models of the strong interactions.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges Saint-Petersburg State University for a research grant 11.38.189.2014. The work was also partially supported by the RFBR grant 13-02-00127-a.

References

[1] S. S. Gershtein, A. K. Likhoded and A. V. Luchinsky, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 016002 (2006).

[2] S. S. Afonin, Phys. Lett. B **639**, 258 (2006).

[3] S. S. Afonin, Eur. Phys. J. A **29**, 327 (2006).

[4] S. S. Afonin, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 015202 (2007).

[5] S. S. Afonin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 3159 (2008).

[6] S. S. Afonin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22**, 4537 (2007).

[7] S. S. Afonin, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22**, 1359 (2007).

[8] L. Ya. Glozman, Phys. Rept. **444**, 1 (2007).

[9] E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, Phys. Rept. **454**, 1 (2007).

[10] M. Shifman and A. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 034002 (2008).

[11] T. D. Cohen, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **195**, 59 (2009).

[12] P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 094006 (2012).

[13] P. Masjuan, E. Ruiz Arriola and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 118502 (2013).

[14] K. -W. Wei and X. -H. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 076005 (2010).

[15] D. V. Bugg, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 118501 (2013).

[16] S. S. Afonin and I. V. Pusenkov, arXiv:1308.6540 [hep-ph].

[17] P. Bicudo, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 094005 (2007).

[18] P. Bicudo, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014011 (2010).

[19] H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, JHEP **0707**, 077 (2007).

[20] S. S. Afonin, Nucl. Phys. B **779**, 13 (2007).

[21] E. H. Mezoir and P. Gonzalez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 232001 (2008).

[22] L. Ya. Glozman, arXiv:0811.0470 [hep-ph].

[23] S. S. Afonin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 4205 (2008).

[24] M. Kirchbach, AIP Conf. Proc. **1488**, 236 (2012).

[25] M. Kirchbach and C. B. Compean, AIP Conf. Proc. **1361**, 208 (2011).

[26] S. S. Afonin and A. D. Katanaeva, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2529 (2013).

[27] Q. Zhen, D. Xin-Ping and W. Ke-Wei, Chin. Phys. C **37**, 053102 (2013).

[28] N. Brambilla *et al.*, arXiv:1404.3723 [hep-ph].

[29] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (NY) **158**, 142 (1984).

[30] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985).

[31] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 507 (1967).

[32] S. P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 649 (1992).

[33] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 261602 (2005).

[34] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **721**, 79 (2005).

[35] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).

[36] A. V. Anisovich, V. V. Anisovich and A. V. Sarantsev, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 051502(R) (2000).

[37] D. V. Bugg, Phys. Rept. **397**, 257 (2004).

[38] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).